



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,387	11/28/2003		Taizo Minowa	2003-1686A	7187
513	7590	08/17/2006		EXAM	INER
	•	ID & PONACK, L	PILKINGTON, JAMES		
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021				3682	

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/722,387	MINOWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James Pilkington	3682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Not</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO_413)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/28/06. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). However, a reference to the prior application must be inserted as the first sentence(s) of the specification of this application or in an application data sheet.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Re clms 1-4, the claimed invention is rack assembly to prevent backlash however, the examiner does not see how the rack assembly is capable of preventing backlash. The rack is shown in the offset tooth arrangement in Figure 1 but the examiner does not see/understand how this offset arrangement is maintained. The examiner does not see any biasing member that could be used to bias the two racks in this arrangement. Without any biasing member the examiner does not understand how the device will prevent backlash since, upon the introduction of the pinion teeth, the two racks would line up and stay in that position. When in the non-offset position the device

Application/Control Number: 10/722,387 Page 3

Art Unit: 3682

will function like any single rack rack-and-pinion assembly. What allows the rack assembly to maintain the off-set position or biases the two racks back toward the off-set position?

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "soft resin" in claim 1 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "soft resin" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. What is a soft resin material?

The term "somewhat out of phase" in claim 1 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "somewhat" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-4, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Isshiki, USP 5,218,592.

Re clm 1, Isshiki discloses a rack structure comprising:

- First and second toothed bars (81 and 82) integrally connected by an intermediate joint (86b, 88b) of a soft resin material to provide a linear toothed bar object, which is so folded about the intermediate joint
- The first and second toothed bars (81 and 82) are laid on each other with their teeth somewhat out of phase, there by preventing backlash (C11-14)

Re clm 2, one of the first and second toothed bars has hooks (89) and the other has holes (87).

Re clms 3 and 4, the intermediate joint has two counter nails (86a and 88a), these counter nails so positioned that they may be engaged with each other when the intermediate joint is bent (86 and 88 are bent tabs and the nails are engaged to each other via spring element (biasing member) 154).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Pilkington whose telephone number is (571) 272-5052. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00AM-4:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,387 Page 5

Art Unit: 3682

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ID

JP 8.7.06

> RICHARD RIDLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER